Comment Info: =========

General Comment: February 25, 2008

Thomas Dowd
Administrator, Office of Policy Development and Research
Employment Training Administration
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue N.W., Room N-5641
Washington, D.C. 20210

Dear Mr. Dowd:

I strongly urge the Department of Labor to certify Farm Labor Contractors for H2A

visas to perform reforestation work as agricultural labor. I strongly believe that this

certification would be consistent with, and is supported by, the definitions of agriculture

contained in the Fair Labor Standards Act, the Internal Revenue Code, and the Migrant

and Seasonal Agricultural Workers Protection Act. I had hoped that your proposed

rules changes would address this inequity and was sorely disappointed when it did not.

I believe that the Department of Labor has wrongly classified reforestation labor as

being solely eligible for H2B, non-agricultural, seasonal worker visas. It is clear that

there are circumstances where reforestation workers are agricultural workers under

existing law and current regulations. The Department of Labor should recognize these

circumstances and allow such workers to be eligible for H2A visas in their proposed rules changes.

As we are sure you are aware, the Fair Labor Standards Act in 29 USC 203(f) defines

agriculture to ?include farming in all its branches and among other things includes the

cultivation and tillage of the soil?, and any practices (including forestry or lumbering

operations) performed by a farmer or on a farm as an incident to or in conjunction with

such farming operations ??. The Bureau of Labor Statistics defines farmers in its

Standard Occupational Classification System (OES/SOC 11-9012) as those who ?On an ownership or rental basis, operate farms, ranches, greenhouses, nurseries, timber

tracts, or other agricultural production establishments?? Webster?s defines a farm as

a tract of land devoted to agricultural purposes. Cultivating means to loosen or break up

the soil about growing plants. The act of planting a tree involves breaking up and

loosening the soil about the seedling to allow the root system to be inserted into the ground.

Employees performing reforestation activities that are the same as those performed by

farm workers on a farm or for a farmer should be classified as agricultural workers for

 $\mbox{H2A}$  purposes. The qualifying reforestation activities should include planting, weed

control, herbicide applications, and other unskilled, nonprofessional, manual labor

tasks that have to do with preparing the site and cultivating the soil. The workers who perform these reforestation-related tasks deserve the same consideration for

H2A visas as do workers who perform the same or similar tasks in cultivating other

as do workers who perform the same or similar tasks in cultivating other agricultural

and horticultural commodities on many of the same farms.

Workers performing reforestation tasks for farmers or on farms are clearly agricultural

employees under the Fair Labor Standards Act. I am disappointed that the

Department

of Labor fails to recognize this definition for purposes of classifying H2A eligible

employers and respectfully request that the Department of Labor reevaluate its position

and  $\bar{\text{i}} \text{issue}$  new regulations allowing H2A visas to be issued to qualifying reforestation

workers. There should not be separate, conflicting categories for workers that prepare

sites and cultivate soil for vegetable planting and workers that prepare sites and

cultivate soil for tree seedling planting.

In its Training and Employment Guidance Letter 27-06 the Department officially takes

the position that Fair Labor Standards does not classify forestry as agriculture -- ??

although the occupations of  $\mbox{ Tree Planter, Forest Worker and Laborer, and Brush <math display="inline">\mbox{ }$ 

Clearer have many similarities to agriculture, they are not so classified under either the

Internal Revenue Code or the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA). Therefore, under the

Immigration and Nationality Act, they are not authorized for the  ${\tt H2A}$  visa and must be

processed as H2B occupations.? The TEGL fails to consider the exception allowed

under FLSA. That is, that when forestry work is performed on farms or for farmers it is,

by FLSA?s definition, clearly agriculture. It also fails to consider the fact that the act of

planting a tree is by definition, cultivating the soil.

It follows then that the Department must be basing the TEGL procedures solely on its

interpretation of the Internal Revenue Code, as spelled out in 26 USC 3121(g) (1), that

defines Agricultural Labor as ?? on a farm, in the employ of any person, in connection with cultivating the soil, or in connection with raising or harvesting any

agricultural or horticultural commodity?? But, again, we find that even the IRS

language supports my position. These reforestation tasks are being performed on

farms, in connection with cultivating the soil. The tasks of digging, planting, weeding,

and spraying are by definition, cultivating the soil. Again, the Department of Labor

fails to recognize the caveats in the definition outlined under current law, this time it is

the IRS Code that would permit reforestation workers to be classified as agricultural  ${\bf r}$ 

workers and eligible for H2A visas.

Other sections of the Internal Revenue Code, although not directed specifically to

agricultural labor, also include timber operations under the definition of ?farming,? and

therefore support my request. For example, Section 2032 A (e) (4) defines the term ?farm? to include ??.woodlands?. Section 2032 A (e) (5) (c) defines the term ?farming purposes? to include ?the planting? of trees?. The instructions for IRS

Schedule F (farming) of Form 1040 stipulate that the form is to be used by sole

proprietor farmers, including those who grow timber and produce forest products.

Therefore, under both FLSA and the Internal Revenue Code, when reforestation tasks

involved in cultivating the soil take place on a farm or for a farmer, such tasks should

reasonably be defined as agriculture, and therefore eligible for the  $\mbox{H2A}$  visa program.

Employers of reforestation workers that come to the  ${\tt U.S.}$  to work on  ${\tt H2B}$  visas often

find themselves having to comply with the laws and regulations surrounding  ${\tt H2A}$  visas.

This only lends further support for reclassifying reforestation workers. For example,

DOL has enforced the Migrant and Seasonal Agricultural Workers Protection Act, 29

USC 1801-1803, with respect to the reforestation business for almost 20 years. The

original reason for enforcement was based on the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals decision in Bresgal v. Brock, which held that forestry was agriculture for the purposes

of the Act because the Internal Revenue Code (26 USC 3121(g)) includes in

definition of Agricultural Labor ?? the handling, planting, drying, packing, packaging,

processing, freezing, or grading prior to delivery for storage of any agricultural or

horticultural commodity in its unmanufactured state.? The Bresgal decision further

states that ??forestry workers who raise trees as a crop for harvest are engaged in

agricultural employment?? The Court found it was ?inconceivable that Congress intended to protect workers planting fruit trees in an orchard, and to disregard workers

planting fir trees on a hillside??

In fact tree planters are specifically mentioned in the record of the Congressional

Committee that amended the agricultural labor law in 1974. The Court also interpreted

the law to define reforestation as agriculture even when not done on a farm; and DOL

has thus been enforcing MSPA as such, on farms, on industrial timberlands, and public forestland, ever since. I fail to understand how the Department of

justify using this Internal Revenue Code section as a basis for denying that reforestation is agriculture for the purposes of H2A visas, and at the same time, use

the very same section of the very same law to define reforestation activities as

agriculture to enforce MSPA. This latter interpretation is particularly inconsistent if the

reforestation activity is taking place on a farm or for a farmer as outlined under FLSA.

As a result of being bound by the Bresgal decision, forestry contractors comply with

other federal farming regulations. All forestry contractors engaged in reforestation

must be registered Farm Labor Contractors. They must adhere to all of the same agricultural employer regulations as Farm Labor Contractors engaged in more traditional agricultural activities. DOL enforces the OSHA Field Sanitation Standards for

agriculture, 29 CFR 1928.11, with respect to reforestation contractors by using the

same premise ? i.e., that the simple act of planting trees is agriculture. Reforestation

contractors have all the responsibilities set out by the agriculture regulations but are

being denied the benefits of access to agricultural labor with H2A visas.

Other federal agencies currently recognize reforestation as agriculture. Farmers are

eligible for federal agricultural cost sharing for reforestation under 7 USC 1724(a) (2).

The Natural Resources Conservation Service supplies technical assistance to private

landowners under 7 CFR 610.26 to ?improve all agricultural lands, including cropland,

forestland, and grazing lands?? The list of federal laws and regulations that co-mingle

reforestation and agriculture goes on and on.

Other evidence that reforestation workers should be certified for  ${\tt H2A}$  visas can be

found when looking at similar industries that are already using the  ${\tt H2A}$  visa category.

Forestry nurseries are currently eligible for H2A visas. These workers cultivate the soil,

plant the seed, spray the weeds, and lift and pack the tree seedlings for shipment.

These same nursery workers are also often used to plant the nursery stock in reforestation activities away from the nursery site when the nursery itself, or its Farm

Labor Contractor, is also acting as the reforestation contractor. It makes no sense to

permit an H2A worker to plant a tree in a nursery, tend to it until it can be used for

reforestation, and then replant it in the forest but not allow other workers

access to H2A

visas because they only plant the seedlings in the forest and did not work in

nursery. Christmas tree growers are also eligible for  ${\tt H2A}$  visas. The act of planting a

Christmas tree is absolutely identical in every way to the act of planting a tree for a timber crop.

Your proposed rule changes will allow logging contractors to be eligible for  ${\tt H2A}$  visas

using the definitions in IRC 3121(g) and 203(f) of FLSA that say harvesting of an

agricultural commodity is agriculture. Those same code sections include planting and

cultivating the soil as agricultural pursuits. By using the same authority you outline to

allow logging to be eligible for H2A you may allow reforestation to be eligible for H2A.

You also ask if there are other businesses that should be similarly included within the

definition of agriculture under this program. I believe it is obvious that reforestation

workers should most certainly be included.

In consideration of the above, I respectfully request that the Department of Labor

amend its procedures to allow reforestation workers to be eligible for  ${\tt H2A}$  visas. This

should be allowed at the least when the work is being performed on a farm, or for a

farmer, as outlined above, in order to stay within current Fair Labor Standards Act

guidelines. The reforestation work obviously must be performed by a registered Farm

Labor Contractor to stay within the Migrant and Seasonal Agricultural Worker Protection Act regulations. The reforestation work must also involve cultivating the soil

as outlined in the Internal Revenue Code. If all of these criteria are met in the  $\mathtt{ETA-750}$ 

application, the Department of Labor should most certainly certify the applicant for an

H2A visa. Current law only requires meeting one of these criteria but the Department is

refusing to recognize the eligibility of reforestation workers even though they often

meet all three. All three of the legal and regulatory criteria are met under the following circumstances:

1. The reforestation takes place on a farm or for a farmer. That would be on private, non-industrial property used primarily for agricultural purposes, including

timber tracts.

2.  $\bullet$ The reforestation involves cultivating the soil. This would include planting,

weeding, fertilizing, and brush control. It must be agricultural in nature. 3.•The work must be performed either by the farmer himself or by a Farm Labor Contractor if for hire.

I respectfully request that the Department of Labor modify its guidelines for processing

and approving H2A labor certification applications to include reforestation as outlined

above. Thank you for your prompt consideration of this matter.

Geoffrey P. Ellison Cullman, AL